

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/005,678	12/05/2001		Suzanne Mary Spindler	F3275(C)	9583
201	7590	07/01/2004		EXAM	INER
UNILEVER			BHAT, NINA NMN		
PATENT DE		ENT			
45 RIVER RO	OAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EDGEWATER, NJ 07020				1764	

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/005,678	SPINDLER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	N. Bhat	1764					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address-					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 M	1ay 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in a rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	Δ) □ Interview	Summary /PTO 4123					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/005,678

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments of May 24, 2004 have been fully and carefully considered. Applicant has pointed out that Kahn teaches a whipped oil-in-water emulsion wherein at least about 50% of the fat is a solid non-crystalline fate and spoonable at about 0°F, the fat used in the food preparation is solid and further the fat is solid at room temperature. In contradistinction, the present invention provides a frozen confection having a fat phase and an overrun of at least 90% wherein at 50% w/w of the fat is liquid at -5°C, accordingly the examiner agrees with applicant's arguments regarding Kahn and according the U.S.C. 103(a) rejection is withdrawn.

A provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection was applied and applicant did respond in the paper of May 24, 2004 that a Terminal Disclaimer would be filed in this case when the case was free of the prior art. The examiner called applicant's representative and indicated that the case would be in condition for allowance upon submission of a timely filed and properly executed Terminal Disclaimer. When speaking to applicant's representative Mr. McGowan, the examiner was informed that the European Search report and references from the search report would be filed. The examiner agreed that the provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection would be repeated and the examiner would consider the new art. In order to give time to applicant to send in the Terminal Disclaimer and PTOL-1449, the examiner informed applicant that a final rejection would be sent out in the next office action in order to expedite prosecution.

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 10/005,678

Art Unit: 1764-

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-5 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of copending Application No. 10/005,677. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both applications claim an ice cream or composition comprising a fat phase and having an overrun of at least 90% and wherein at least 50% w/w of the fat is in the liquid phase at -5°C, in the '677, the at least 40% of the fat is in the liquid phase which would read on at least 50wt% thus would have been and obvious optimization of the '678 patent.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patent.

- 4. Claims 4-5 are free of the prior art. Claims 4-5 are drawn to a process of preparing an ice cream having an overrun of at least 90% and wherein the process comprises aerating a mix comprising a fat phase in a freezer barrel enclosing an internal volume, wherein the internal volume comprises an aeration means which displaces less than 40% of the internal volume where at least 50% w/w of the fat phase is liquid at -5°C has not been taught or fairly suggested singularly or in combination by the prior art.
- 5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/005,678

Art Unit: 1764

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to N. Bhat whose telephone number is 571-272-1397. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glen Caldarola can be reached on 272-571-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5665.

N. Bhat Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1764